

Finite rotations and angular velocity

Asher Peres

Department of Physics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

(Received 5 January 1979; accepted 1 April 1979)

The angular velocity vector is not the time derivative of the vector which represents a finite rotation. The relationship between the two is derived explicitly.

The formula for rotating a vector \mathbf{r} through a finite angle α is well known.^{1,2} An elegant notation was recently proposed by Koehler and Trickley.³ The aim of this paper is twofold: to give a concise derivation of this formula and to obtain the relationship between the angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and the time derivative of α . These two are not the same (unless α and $\dot{\alpha}$ are parallel) because finite rotations do not commute, even with infinitesimal ones. The expression

$$d\alpha = \alpha(t + dt) - \alpha(t)$$

is mathematically well defined, but is *not* the infinitesimal rotation required to carry $\mathbf{r}(t)$ into $\mathbf{r}(t + dt)$. The latter is the infinitesimal rotation $\boldsymbol{\omega}dt$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\omega} \neq \dot{\alpha}$.

To obtain the formula⁴ for a rotation through α , we divide it into a very large number N of infinitesimal rotations through an angle α/N . For the k th step, we have

$$\mathbf{r}_k = [1 + (\alpha/N)\boldsymbol{\times}] \mathbf{r}_{k-1},$$

and therefore after N steps

$$\mathbf{r}_N = [1 + (\alpha/N)\boldsymbol{\times}]^N \mathbf{r}_0.$$

For $N \rightarrow \infty$, this gives

$$\mathbf{r} = e^{\alpha\boldsymbol{\times}} \mathbf{r}_0, \quad (1)$$

where $e^{\alpha\boldsymbol{\times}}$ means $\sum (\alpha\boldsymbol{\times})^n/n!$, and

$$(\alpha\boldsymbol{\times})^n \equiv \{\alpha\boldsymbol{\times} [\alpha\boldsymbol{\times} (\alpha\boldsymbol{\times} \dots)]\}, \quad (n \text{ times}).$$

By virtue of

$$(\alpha\boldsymbol{\times})^3 = -\alpha^2(\alpha\boldsymbol{\times}),$$

we can rewrite³ Eq. (1) as

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \frac{\sin\alpha}{\alpha} (\alpha\boldsymbol{\times} \mathbf{r}_0) + \frac{1 - \cos\alpha}{\alpha^2} [\alpha\boldsymbol{\times} (\alpha\boldsymbol{\times} \mathbf{r}_0)],$$

which is the form best suited for practical calculations.

This can also be written as

$$\mathbf{r} = S\mathbf{r}_0,$$

where S is the orthogonal matrix with elements

$$S_{ik} = \cos\alpha \delta_{ik} + \frac{\sin\alpha}{\alpha} \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_j + \frac{1 - \cos\alpha}{\alpha^2} \alpha_i \alpha_k. \quad (2)$$

Now suppose that α is a function of time. We then have

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \dot{S} \mathbf{r}_0 = \dot{S} S^{-1} \mathbf{r}.$$

Since S is orthogonal, $\dot{S} S^{-1} = \dot{S} S^T$ is an antisymmetric matrix, which we shall call Ω . We can then write

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \Omega \mathbf{r} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is defined by

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \omega_j = \Omega_{ik} = \dot{S}_{ij} S_{kj}. \quad (3)$$

The explicit evaluation of this expression is quite tedious. It is more instructive to proceed as follows:

Any three-dimensional vector can be represented by a 3×3 antisymmetric matrix according to the rule $\alpha_j \rightarrow A_{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_j$. An expression like $\alpha \times \mathbf{r}$ then becomes $A\mathbf{r}$ (we still represent \mathbf{r} as a vector, not a matrix) and likewise $(\alpha \times)^n \mathbf{r}$ becomes $A^n \mathbf{r}$. Thus Eq. (1) simply is $\mathbf{r} = e^A \mathbf{r}_0$, whence we obtain $S = e^A$. It follows that

$$\Omega = \frac{d}{dt} (e^A) e^{-A},$$

which can be expanded (see appendix)⁵

$$\Omega = \dot{A} + (1/2!)[A, \dot{A}] + (1/3!)[A, [A, \dot{A}]] + \dots \quad (4)$$

We must now evaluate the commutator of two antisymmetric matrices. This is also an antisymmetric matrix and it can easily be checked that if A represents a vector α and B a vector β , then $[A, B]$ represents the vector $\alpha \times \beta$. Therefore, Eq. (4) can be rewritten in vector notation as

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\omega} &= \dot{\alpha} + (1/2!)(\alpha \times \dot{\alpha}) + (1/3!)[\alpha \times (\alpha \times \dot{\alpha})] + \dots \\ &= \sum \frac{(\alpha \times)^n \dot{\alpha}}{(n+1)!} \\ &= \dot{\alpha} + \frac{1 - \cos\alpha}{\alpha^2} (\alpha \times \dot{\alpha}) + \frac{\alpha - \sin\alpha}{\alpha^3} [\alpha \times (\alpha \times \dot{\alpha})], \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. As a check, this expression was also obtained from Eqs. (2) and (3), but with considerably more labor.

APPENDIX

To prove Eq. (4), let us define

$$\Omega(\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{\lambda A}) e^{-\lambda A},$$

where λ is a parameter independent of t . Obviously, $\Omega(0) = 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial \Omega(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \dot{A} + [A, \Omega(\lambda)]. \quad (5)$$

We can thereby obtain $\Omega(\lambda)$ iteratively, as a power series in λ :

$$\Omega(\lambda) = \lambda \dot{A} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} [A, \dot{A}] + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} [A, [A, \dot{A}]] + \dots$$

Indeed, it is readily checked that the rhs vanishes for $\lambda = 1$ and satisfies the differential equation (5). Finally, setting $\lambda = 1$ gives the desired result.

¹E. T. Whittaker, *Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies*,

4th ed. (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 1937), p. 6.

²H. C. Corben and P. Stehle, *Classical mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1960), p. 374.

³T. R. Koehler and S. B. Trickey, *Am. J. Phys.* **46**, 650 (1978).

⁴Our angle α is the same as θ of Ref. 2 and $-\theta$ of Ref. 3.

⁵A. Messiah, *Quantum mechanics*, 1st ed. (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1961), Vol. 1, p. 340.